UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,363	02/04/2000	Yves Naoumenko	146493US6	8719
	7590 10/29/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	INER
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET	FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		AWRENCE D
ALEAANDKIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/498,363	NAOUMENKO E	T AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LAWRENCE D. FERGUSON	1794				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Lawrence Ferguson</u> .	(3)					
(2) Robert Pous.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>All</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the intercalated adhesive layer in Kunert does not extend over the exposed edge portion of the first sheet. Examiner acknowledged Applicant's argument and will further consider Applicant's arguments upon response to the last Office Action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Lawrence D Ferguson/						